# POSTER NUMBER Su1687

# Utility of the Hepatic Encephalopathy Scoring Algorithm (HESA) for Diagnosing Hepatic Encephalopathy in a Randomized, Controlled Trial of Rifaximin vs. Placebo

Tarek Hassanein<sup>1</sup>; Fatma Barakat<sup>1</sup>; Andrew C. Barrett, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Enoch Bortey, PhD<sup>2</sup>; Craig Paterson, MD<sup>2</sup>; William P. Forbes, PharmD<sup>2</sup> <sup>1</sup>Southern California Liver Centers, Coronado, CA, USA: <sup>2</sup>Salix Pharmaceuticals, Inc., Raleigh, NC, USA

## INTRODUCTION

- The Conn score (West Haven criteria) is widely used as a grading system for assessing the severity of hepatic encephalopathy (HE)<sup>1</sup>
  - Although clinically simple, limitations include subjectivity of healthcare provider interpretation, lack of specific definitions for each grade, and inaccuracy in differentiating milder grades of HE severity<sup>2</sup>
- The Hepatic Encephalopathy Scoring Algorithm (HESA) is an adaption of this grading system: HESA combines clinical examination with standardized and validated indicators of cognitive impairment to improve objectivity and increase sensitivity for determining HE severity (Figure 1: Table 1)<sup>3</sup>
  - Designed to minimize effects of patient age and education level<sup>2,4</sup>
  - Relies primarily on clinical examination for more severe HE (ie, grades III-IV), in which neuropsychological testing is not feasible, and on objective cognitive testing for less severe HE (ie, grades I-II)<sup>2,3</sup>
- HESA was incorporated into a large, randomized, placebo-controlled trial of rifaximin 550 mg twice daily for the maintenance of recurrent HE remission<sup>5</sup>

#### Figure 1. Hepatic Encephalopathy Scoring Algorithm (HESA)

HE Grade	Clinical Assessments	Neuropsychological Assessments	HE Grade Determination
IV	<ul> <li>No eyes open</li> <li>No verbal response</li> <li>No reaction to simple commands</li> </ul>	Not applicable	All 3 indicators present
111	<ul> <li>Somnolence</li> <li>Confusion</li> <li>Disoriented to place</li> <li>Bizarre behavior/anger/rage</li> <li>Clonus/rigidity/nystagmus/Babinski</li> </ul>	Mental control = 0	At least 3 indicators present, either clinical or neuropsychological
II	<ul> <li>Lethargy</li> <li>Disoriented to time</li> <li>Slurred speech</li> <li>Hyperactive reflexes</li> <li>Inappropriate behavior</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Slow responses</li> <li>Anxiety</li> <li>Amnesia recent events</li> <li>Simple computations</li> </ul>	At least 2 clinical and 3 neuropsychological indicators present
I	<ul> <li>Sleep disorder</li> <li>Tremor</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Complex computations</li> <li>Construction ability</li> <li>Shortened attention span</li> <li>Depression</li> </ul>	At least 4 indicators present, either clinical or neuropsychological

Scoring begins with the highest HE grade (grade IV) working downward through the algorithm. Clinical assessments are indicated by (•) and neuropsychological assessments are indicated by (•).

Adapted with permission from Hassanein T et al. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104(6):1392-1400.

#### Table 1. Indicators of Impairment on Neuropsychological Measures

Neuropsychological Assessment		Impairment		
HESA grade III	Mental control	• Score = 0		
HESA grade II	Slow responses Anxiety Amnesia recent events Simple computations	<ul> <li>Mental control &lt;4</li> <li>Score &gt;4</li> <li>HVLT recognition &lt;100%</li> <li>First 3 problems &lt;100%</li> </ul>		
HESA grade I	Complex computations Construction ability Shortened attention span Depression	<ul> <li>Second 3 problems &lt;100%</li> <li>BVMT-R copy trial &lt;6 or cannot legibly write name</li> <li>Number of digits correctly repeated on digit span &lt;5</li> <li>Score &gt;4</li> </ul>		

BVMT-R = brief visuospatial memory test-revised: HVLT = Hopkins verbal learning test. Adapted with permission from Hassanein TI, Hilsabeck RC, Perry W. Dig Dis Sci. 2008;53(2):529-538.

## **OBJECTIVE**

• To assess the utility of the HESA as a tool for enhancing HE grading in randomized, controlled trials

### **METHODS**

- This was a randomized, phase 3, placebo-controlled, multinational clinical trial in adults with cirrhosis and HE who were currently in remission (Conn score 0 or 1) and had a history of  $\geq 2$  episodes of overt HE (Conn score  $\geq 2$ ) within 6 months of screening<sup>5</sup>
- HESA was used to assist in assigning Conn score at clinic visits<sup>5</sup>
  - Aid for detecting "subtle" breakthrough HE episodes during clinic visits - HESA and Conn assessments at a particular visit were to be performed by the
  - same individual whenever possible - Clinic visits occurred on days 7 and 14 and every 2 weeks thereafter through day 168 (end of the treatment), with optional visits on days 42, 70, 98, 126, and 154
- A breakthrough overt HE episode was defined as an increase in Conn score to grade  $\geq$ 2. or if baseline Conn score = 0. an increase of 1 grade each in Conn and asterixis scores<sup>5</sup>
- This post hoc analysis evaluated the ability of HESA parameters to differentiate HE Conn scores at baseline and post-baseline

### RESULTS

#### Patient Disposition and Demographics

 A total of 299 patients were treated at 70 centers in North America and Russia (Table 2)<sup>5</sup> - Baseline HESA data were available for 129 patients with a Conn score of 0 and 43 patients with a Conn score of 1

Table 2. Demographics and Baseline Disease Characteristics

Characteristic	All patients (N = 299)
Age (years), mean (SD)	56.2 (9.4)
Male, n (%)	182 (60.9)
Race, white, n (%)	257 (86.0)
Time since advanced liver disease diagnosis (months), mean (SD)	56.2 (58.2)
Duration of current HE remission (days), mean (SD)	71.1 (49.6)
Conn score, n (%) 0 1	200 (66.9) 99 (33.1)
Model End-Stage Liver Disease (MELD) score, n (%)ª ≤10 11–18 19–24	82 (27.4) 190 (63.5) 26 (8.7)
Country United States Russia Canada	205 (68.6) 80 (26.8) 14 (4.7)

<sup>a</sup>Data missing for 1 patient

## RESULTS

Table 3. Impairment According to HESA Indicators, by Baseline Conn Score

	Conn score = 0	Conn score = 1	
HESA Indicator, n (%) <sup>a</sup>	(n = 129)	(n = 43)	P value <sup>b</sup>
HESA grade II			
Clinical			
Lethargy	10 (7.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Disoriented to time	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Slurred speech	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Hyperactive reflexes	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Inappropriate behavior	0	0	—
Neuropsychological			
Slow responses	18 (14.0)	9 (20.9)	NS
Anxiety	17 (13.2)	10 (23.3)	NS
Amnesia of recent events	64 (49.6)	32 (74.4)	0.005
Simple computations	4 (3.1)	6 (14.0)	0.02
HESA grade I			
Clinical			
Sleep disorder	32 (24.8)	27 (62.8)	< 0.001
Tremor	17 (13.2)	21 (48.8)	< 0.001
Neuropsychological			
Complex computations	31 (24.0)	18 (41.9)	0.03
Construction ability	3 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	NS
Shortened attention span	10 (7.8)	5 (11.6)	NS
Depression	17 (13.2)	13 (30.2)	0.02

SA grade II       nical         _ethargy       10 (7.8)       2 (4.7)       NS         Disoriented to time       1 (0.8)       2 (4.7)       NS         Slurred speech       1 (0.8)       2 (4.7)       NS         Hyperactive reflexes       1 (0.8)       2 (4.7)       NS         Inappropriate behavior       0       0          uropsychological       0       0          Slow responses       18 (14.0)       9 (20.9)       NS         Anxiety       17 (13.2)       10 (23.3)       NS         Annesia of recent events       64 (49.6)       32 (74.4)       0.005         Simple computations       4 (3.1)       6 (14.0)       0.02         SA grade I	SA Indicator, n (%) <sup>a</sup>	Conn score = 0 (n = 129)	Conn score = 1 (n = 43)	<i>P</i> value <sup>b</sup>
nical         10 (7.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Disoriented to time         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Slurred speech         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Hyperactive reflexes         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Inappropriate behavior         0         0         —           uropsychological         0         0         —           Slow responses         18 (14.0)         9 (20.9)         NS           Anxiety         17 (13.2)         10 (23.3)         NS           Annesia of recent events         64 (49.6)         32 (74.4)         0.005           Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02 <b>(SA grade I</b> —         —         —           Inical         —         —         —           Sleep disorder         32 (24.8)         27 (62.8)         < 0.001           uropsychological         —         —         —           Uropsychological         —         —         —           Complex computations         31 (24.0)         18 (41.9)         0.03           Construction ability         3 (2.3)         1 (2.3)         NS      <	SA grade II			
Lethargy         10 (7.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Disoriented to time         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Slurred speech         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Hyperactive reflexes         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           hyperactive reflexes         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           nappropriate behavior         0         0         —           uropsychological         0         0         —           Slow responses         18 (14.0)         9 (20.9)         NS           Anxiety         17 (13.2)         10 (23.3)         NS           Annesia of recent events         64 (49.6)         32 (74.4)         0.005           Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02           SA grade I         —         —         —           mical         —         —         —           Sleep disorder         32 (24.8)         27 (62.8)         < 0.001	nical			
Disoriented to time         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Slurred speech         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Hyperactive reflexes         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           nappropriate behavior         0         0         —           uropsychological         0         0         —           Slow responses         18 (14.0)         9 (20.9)         NS           Anxiety         17 (13.2)         10 (23.3)         NS           Annesia of recent events         64 (49.6)         32 (74.4)         0.005           Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02           SA grade I         —         —         —           mical         —         —         —         —           Sleep disorder         32 (24.8)         27 (62.8)         < 0.001	_ethargy	10 (7.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Slurred speech         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           Hyperactive reflexes         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           nappropriate behavior         0         0         —           uropsychological         0         0         —           slow responses         18 (14.0)         9 (20.9)         NS           Anxiety         17 (13.2)         10 (23.3)         NS           Amnesia of recent events         64 (49.6)         32 (74.4)         0.005           Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02           SA grade I         —         —         —           nical         —         —         —         —           Sleep disorder         32 (24.8)         27 (62.8)         < 0.001	Disoriented to time	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Hyperactive reflexes         1 (0.8)         2 (4.7)         NS           nappropriate behavior         0         0         —           uropsychological	Slurred speech	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
nappropriate behavior         0         0         —           uropsychological         Slow responses         18 (14.0)         9 (20.9)         NS           Anxiety         17 (13.2)         10 (23.3)         NS           Annesia of recent events         64 (49.6)         32 (74.4)         0.005           Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02           SA grade I	Hyperactive reflexes	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
uropsychological         Slow responses       18 (14.0)       9 (20.9)       NS         Anxiety       17 (13.2)       10 (23.3)       NS         Amnesia of recent events       64 (49.6)       32 (74.4)       0.005         Simple computations       4 (3.1)       6 (14.0)       0.02         SA grade I	nappropriate behavior	0	0	—
Slow responses         18 (14.0)         9 (20.9)         NS           Anxiety         17 (13.2)         10 (23.3)         NS           Annesia of recent events         64 (49.6)         32 (74.4)         0.005           Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02           SA grade I	uropsychological			
Anxiety         17 (13.2)         10 (23.3)         NS           Amnesia of recent events         64 (49.6)         32 (74.4)         0.005           Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02           SA grade I	Slow responses	18 (14.0)	9 (20.9)	NS
Amnesia of recent events         64 (49.6)         32 (74.4)         0.005           Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02           SA grade I	Anxiety	17 (13.2)	10 (23.3)	NS
Simple computations         4 (3.1)         6 (14.0)         0.02           SA grade I	Amnesia of recent events	64 (49.6)	32 (74.4)	0.005
SA grade I         nical         Sleep disorder       32 (24.8)       27 (62.8)       < 0.001	Simple computations	4 (3.1)	6 (14.0)	0.02
nical         Sleep disorder       32 (24.8)       27 (62.8)       < 0.001	SA grade I			
Sleep disorder       32 (24.8)       27 (62.8)       < 0.001         Tremor       17 (13.2)       21 (48.8)       < 0.001	nical			
Tremor         17 (13.2)         21 (48.8)         < 0.001           uropsychological	Sleep disorder	32 (24.8)	27 (62.8)	< 0.001
uropsychological           Complex computations         31 (24.0)         18 (41.9)         0.03           Construction ability         3 (2.3)         1 (2.3)         NS           Shortened attention span         10 (7.8)         5 (11.6)         NS           Depression         17 (13.2)         13 (30.2)         0.02	Fremor	17 (13.2)	21 (48.8)	< 0.001
Complex computations         31 (24.0)         18 (41.9)         0.03           Construction ability         3 (2.3)         1 (2.3)         NS           Shortened attention span         10 (7.8)         5 (11.6)         NS           Depression         17 (13.2)         13 (30.2)         0.02	uropsychological			
Construction ability         3 (2.3)         1 (2.3)         NS           Shortened attention span         10 (7.8)         5 (11.6)         NS           Depression         17 (13.2)         13 (30.2)         0.02	Complex computations	31 (24.0)	18 (41.9)	0.03
Shortened attention span         10 (7.8)         5 (11.6)         NS           Depression         17 (13.2)         13 (30.2)         0.02	Construction ability	3 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	NS
Depression 17 (13.2) 13 (30.2) 0.02	Shortened attention span	10 (7.8)	5 (11.6)	NS
	Depression	17 (13.2)	13 (30.2)	0.02

HESA Indicator, n (%) <sup>a</sup>	Conn score = 0 (n = 129)	Conn score = 1 (n = 43)	<i>P</i> value <sup>b</sup>
HESA grade II			
Clinical			
Lethargy	10 (7.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Disoriented to time	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Slurred speech	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Hyperactive reflexes	1 (0.8)	2 (4.7)	NS
Inappropriate behavior	0	0	—
Neuropsychological			
Slow responses	18 (14.0)	9 (20.9)	NS
Anxiety	17 (13.2)	10 (23.3)	NS
Amnesia of recent events	64 (49.6)	32 (74.4)	0.005
Simple computations	4 (3.1)	6 (14.0)	0.02
HESA grade I			
Clinical			
Sleep disorder	32 (24.8)	27 (62.8)	< 0.001
Tremor	17 (13.2)	21 (48.8)	< 0.001
Neuropsychological			
Complex computations	31 (24.0)	18 (41.9)	0.03
Construction ability	3 (2.3)	1 (2.3)	NS
Shortened attention span	10 (7.8)	5 (11.6)	NS
Depression	17 (13.2)	13 (30.2)	0.02

<sup>b</sup>Conn score of 0 vs 1. NS = not significant; P > 0.05.

- 2. indicative of a breakthrough HE episode
- Conn score of 0 versus 1 (Table 4)

· Significant differences were observed at baseline between patients with a Conn score of 0 versus those with a Conn score of 1 for 2 clinical HESA indicators (P < 0.001) and 4 neuropsychological HESA indicators (P < 0.05; Table 3)

<sup>a</sup>With the exception of mental control = 0 (6 patients with Conn score = 0 and 3 patients with Conn score = 1, P = 0.70).  $\leq 1$  patient showed impairment in HESA clinical indicators for HE grades III or IV.

During clinic visits, 18 patients were identified as having progressed to a Conn score =

 Significant differences were observed for several HESA clinical and neuropsychological indicators post-baseline, most often between patients with a Conn score of 0 versus 2 or

There was generally good reliability among study sites consistent with prior findings<sup>4</sup>

#### RESULTS

Table 4. Impairment According to HESA Indicators, by Post-baseline Conn Score							
		Conn score = 0	Conn score = 1	Conn score = 2		<u>P value</u>	
HESA Indicator, n (%) <sup>a</sup>		(n = 138)	(n = 93)	(n = 18)	0 vs 1	1 vs 2	0 vs 2
HESA grade III							
Clinical	Somnolence	0	0	0	-	-	-
	Confusion	0	0	1 (5.6)	-	NS	NS
	Disoriented to place	0	1 (1.1)	0	NS	NS	-
	Bizarre behavior/ anger/rage	1 (0.7)	2 (2.2)	0	NS	NS	NS
	Clonus/rigidity/ nystagmus/Babinski	1 (0.7)	1 (1.1)	1 (5.6)	NS	NS	NS
Neuropsychological	Mental control = 0	6 (4.3)	7 (7.5)	4 (22.2)	NS	NS	0.02
HESA grade II							
Clinical	Lethargy	8 (5.8)	25 (26.9)	14 (77.8)	< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.00
	Disoriented to time	1 (0.7)	4 (4.3)	3 (16.7)	NS	NS	0.005
	Slurred speech	3 (2.2)	7 (8)	8 (44)	NS	< 0.001	< 0.00
	Hyperactive reflexes	1 (0.7)	0	1 (5.6)	NS	NS	NS
	Inappropriate behavior	0	2 (2.2)	10 (55.6)	NS	< 0.001	< 0.00
Neuropsychological	Slow responses	46 (33.3)	28 (30.1)	10 (55.6)	NS	NS	NS
	Anxiety	25 (18.1)	34 (36.6)	9 (50.0)	0.002	NS	0.005
	Amnesia of recent events	94 (68.1)	59 (63.4)	15 (83.3)	NS	NS	NS
	Simple computations	11 (8.0)	13 (13.9)	6 (33.3)	NS	NS	0.006
HESA grade I							
Clinical	Sleep disorder	31 (22.4)	54 (58.1)	9 (50.0)	< 0.001	NS	0.02
	Tremor	33 (23.9)	45 (48.4)	8 (44.4)	< 0.001	NS	NS
Neuropsychological	Complex computations	38 (27.5)	44 (47.3)	9 (50.0)	0.003	NS	NS
	Construction ability	7 (5.1)	12 (12.9)	2 (11.1)	0.049	NS	NS
	Shortened attention span	20 (14.5)	19 (20.4)	6 (33.3)	NS	NS	NS
	Depression	20 (14.5)	27 (29.0)	7 (38.9)	0.01	NS	0.02

<sup>a</sup>No patients showed impairment in HESA clinical indicators for grade IV. NS = not significant; P > 0.05.

## CONCLUSIONS

- HESA provided good precision in differentiating Conn scores 0 from scores of 1 or 2 and is a time-efficient, objective, and reliable approach for assessing the broad spectrum of neurologic and clinical manifestations of HE
- Although further validation is needed. HESA may provide a more objective assessment of HE severity than Conn score (West Haven) in multicenter clinical trials

REFERENCES 1. Ferenci P. Lockwood A. Mullen K. Tarter R. Weissenborn K. Blei AT Hepatology, 2002;35(3);716-721. 2. Sakamoto M. Perry W. Hilsabeck RC. Barakat F. Hassanein T. Clin Liver Dis. 2012;16(1):27-42. 3. Hassanein TI. Hilsabeck RC. Perry W. Dig Dis Sci. 2008;53(2):529-538. 4. Hassanein T, Blei AT, Perry W, et al. Am J Gastroenterol. 2009;104(6):1392-1400. 5. Bass NM, Mullen KD, Sanyal A, et al. N Engl. Med 2010:362(12):1071-1081



Acknowledgment: Technical editorial and medical writing assistance was provided under the direction of the authors by Mary Beth Moncrief, PhD, for Synchrony Medical, LLC, West Chester PA